

# The Obstetric Implications of **Diabetes & Diabetes** in Malaysia

G MUNISWARAN

OBSTETRICIAN & GYNAECOLOGIST

HOSPITAL RAJA PERMAISURI BAINUN, IPOH



# INVESTIGATORS



**Ganeshan Muniswaran<sup>1</sup>, Japaraj RP<sup>1</sup>,  
SA Soelar<sup>2</sup>, SD Karalasingam<sup>2</sup>, R Jeganathan<sup>3</sup>**

*1- Hospital Raja Permaisuri Bainun, Ipoh*

*2- Clinical Research Centre, Kuala Lumpur*

*3- Hospital Sultanah Aminah, Johor Bahru*

# BACKGROUND REVIEW

- ▶ Diabetes is highly prevalent in Malaysia

National Obstetrics Registry (NOR)

- ▶ Obesity is a modern epidemic
- ▶ The implications of diabetes and obesity in pregnancy are significant
- ▶ Implications of **diabesity in pregnancy?**

# OBJECTIVE

## National Obstetrics Registry (NOR)

- ▶ To compare the obstetric implications of diabetes and diabetes

# METHODS

- ▶ Cross sectional retrospective cohort study
- ▶ Dataset is from the National Obstetric Registry of Malaysia  
*(Fourteen major tertiary hospitals in nationwide)*
- ▶ Three year study period from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2010 till 31<sup>st</sup> December 2012

# DEFINITIONS

## Inclusion criteria

- ▶ **Pre-gestational** DM
- ▶ Hyperglycaemia in pregnancy  
**(WHO)**
- ▶ Obesity – Booking **BMI**>**30kg/m<sup>2</sup>**
- ▶ **Diabetesity – Pre-gestational DM and Obesity**

## Exclusion criteria

- ▶ GDM were excluded
- ▶ Booking >18 weeks of pregnancy

# METHODS

Sample size

**399,274** pregnant mothers

National Obstetrics Registry (NOR)

**Diabetes**

**34,619** pregnant mothers

**Diabesity**

**17,770** pregnant mothers

# Outcome Variables

## Primary outcomes

- ▶ Fetal macrosomia
- ▶ Caesarean section rates
- ▶ Stillbirths

## Secondary outcomes

- ▶ Shoulder dystocia
- ▶ Primary Post partum haemorrhage

*Adjusted for maternal age, parity & ethnicity*



# STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

- ▶ **Multivariate analysis** using logistic regressions

National Obstetrics Registry (NOR)

- ▶ **Crude and adjusted odds ratio** with respective 95% confidence interval
- ▶ **Paired sample t-test** to compare the mean difference between odds ratio



# RESULTS

National Obstetrics Registry (NOR)

# DIABESITY IS COMMON

- ▶ Incidence of diabetes in pregnancy – **4.5%**

National Obstetrics Registry (NOR)

- ▶ Most prevalent among **Indians**
- ▶ Most common age group **35-39** years of age

# FETAL MACROSOMIA

Condition		Fetal macrosomia					
		Yes		No		Crude OR (95% CI)	P value
		No	%	No	%		
Diabetes	Yes	1,307	3.7	33,743	96.3	<b>3.47</b> (3.25-3.69)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	No	4,024	1.1	360,200	98.9	1.00 (ref)	
Diabesity	Yes	780	6.7	10,867	93.3	<b>6.04</b> (5.59 -6.53)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	No	4,551	1.2	383,076	98.8	1.00 (ref)	

*P value based on simple logistic regression , OR Odds Ratio*

# CAESAREAN SECTION

Condition		Caesarean Section					
		Yes		No		Crude OR (95% CI)	P value
		No	%	No	%		
Diabetes	Yes	12,542	36.2	22,077	63.8	<b>1.90</b> (1.86-1.95)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	No	82,543	23.0	276,599	77.0	1.00 (ref)	
Diabesity	Yes	5,357	46.6	6,146	53.4	<b>2.84</b> (2.74 -2.95)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	No	89,728	23.5	292,530	76.5	1.00 (ref)	

*P value based on simple logistic regression , OR Odds Ratio*

# STILLBIRTH

Condition		Stillbirth					
		Yes		No		Crude OR (95% CI)	P value
		No	%	No	%		
<b>Diabetes</b>	Yes	319	0.9	34,410	99.1	1.17 (1.04-1.31)	0.008
	No	2,836	0.8	357,914	99.2	1.00 (ref)	
<b>Diabesity</b>	Yes	136	1.2	11,418	98.8	1.50 (1.26 -1.79)	<0.001
	No	3,019	0.8	380,906	99.2	1.00 (ref)	

*P value based on simple logistic regression , OR Odds Ratio*

# PRIMARY PPH

Condition		Primary PPH					
		Yes		No		Crude OR (95% CI)	P value
		No	%	No	%		
Diabetes	Yes	356	1.0	34,694	99.0	<b>2.24</b> (1.99-2.51)	<0.001
	No	1,663	0.5	362,561	99.5	1.00 (ref)	
Diabesity	Yes	140	1.2	11,507	98.8	<b>2.50</b> (2.10 -2.97)	<0.001
	No	1,879	0.5	385,748	99.5	1.00 (ref)	

*P value based on simple logistic regression , OR Odds Ratio*

# SHOULDER DYSTOCIA

Condition		Shoulder dystocia					
		Yes		No		Crude OR (95% CI)	P value
		No	%	No	%		
<b>Diabetes</b>	Yes	193	0.6	34,857	99.4	<b>3.56</b> (3.03-4.20)	<0.001
	No	565	0.2	363,659	99.8	1.00 (ref)	
<b>Diabesity</b>	Yes	74	0.6	11,573	99.4	<b>3.62</b> (2.84 -4.60)	<0.001
	No	684	0.2	386,943	99.8	1.00 (ref)	

*P value based on simple logistic regression , OR Odds Ratio*



# CONCLUSION

▶ Combination of diabetes & obesity has far greater obstetrics complications

▶ More prevalent then what is perceived!

▶ Risk of fetal macrosomia & caesarean section is extremely significant

# STRENGTH OF STUDY

- ▶ Large sample size

National Obstetrics Registry (NOR)

- ▶ Adequately powered

# LIMITATIONS

- ▶ Retrospective study

National Obstetrics Registry (NOR)

- ▶ Based on a single registry

# RECOMMENDATIONS

- ▶ Better awareness

National Obstetrics Registry (NOR)

- ▶ Urgent need of a aggressive & holistic approach
- ▶ Global Initiative & National Health Policy & Declaration

# REFERENCES

- ▶ Diabetesity : A worldwide Challenge : European Commission Report Feb 2012

- ▶ *Hossain P, Kavar B, El Nahas M (2007) Obesity and diabetes in the developing world – a growing challenge. N Engl J Med 356: 213–215. doi: 10.1056/nejmp068177*

- ▶ Jensen DM, Damm P, et al. Pregnancy outcome and prepregnancy body mass index in 2459 glucose tolerant Danish women. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2003;188:239-44

- ▶ Mcmillen IC, Robinson J. Developmental origin of the metabolic syndrome. Physiol Rev. 2005;85:471-633

**THANK  
YOU**

National Obstetrics Registry (NOR)

