Predictors for Spontaneous and Induced Preterm Labor in Malaysia: Results from NOR 2009



Lim ESS¹, Fonseka M¹, Karalasingam SD², Jeganathan R², and Rampal KG¹

¹Perdana University Graduate School of Medicine, Perdana Universiti ²Clinical Research Center, Ministry of Health Malaysia

INTRODUCTION

Preterm labor accounts for 12.9 million or 9.6% of all birth worldwide where approximately 85% were concentrated in Africa and Asia while Europe, North America, Latin America, and Caribbean contributed to a smaller percentage (Beck et al., 2010).

RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS

16

14

12

Percentage (%)

Distribution of patients by types of deliveries





The causes of preterm labor are still not fully understood but it is estimated that 75% of preterm labors are spontaneous (Morken et. al., 2005, Ananth & Vintzileos, 2006) and 20% are iatrogenic. Many predictors such as individual factors, socio-economic factors, working conditions, and obstetric and gynaecological history have been identified in the past 20-30 years (Goffinet, 2005). However, specific predictors directed for spontaneous and induced preterm labor have not been determined.

Best strategies and efficacy in management of preterm labor can be implemented if more precise and earlier diagnosis can be done in women at very high risk (Goffinet, 2005).

Distribution of spontaneous and induced preterm labor patients by age



Risk factors for preterm labor

	Characteristics	Association with spontaneous preterm labor OR (95% Cl)	Association with induced preterm labor OR (95% Cl)				
Risk factors: individual, socio-economic and behavioral							
	Young mother (<19 years)	1.498 (1.196; 1.878)*	0.929 (0.525, 1.646)				
Single mother Minorities (Race)		1.942 (1.439, 2.621)*	1.446 (0.693, 3.014)				
		1.015 (0.840, 1.228)	0.580 (0.354, 0.949)*				
Underweight mother		1.340 (1.110, 1.617)*	1.758 (1.214, 2.540)*				
Gynecological and obstetric history							
Previous Preterm Delivery		7.604 (5.989, 9.656)*	2.821 (1.501, 5.301)*				
	Previous Caesarean Section	1.272 (1.063, 1.524)*	0.652 (0.416, 1.021)				
PROM Placenta Previa		13.807 (12.024, 15.856)*	14.518 (11.119, 18.955)*				
		4.225 (2.688, 6.640)*	1.728 (0.423, 7.058)				
	IUGR	5.721 (4.090, 8.001)*	8.277 (4.723, 14.506)*				
	Anemia	0.875 (0.771, 0.992)*	0.937 (0.715, 1.228)				
	Diabetes	1.036 (0.874, 1.227)	1.631 (1.201, 2.215)*				
	Hypertension	1.731 (1.436, 2.087)*	1.918 (1.352, 2.721)*				
	Multiple fetus		1 513 (2 005 7 105)*				

OBJECTIVES

METHODOLOGY

The objectives of this study were to estimate incidence, and determine the predictors of induced and spontaneous preterm labor in Malaysian women.

Sample of births in 14 participating hospitals in 2009 as reported to Malaysia's National **Obstetric Registry**





Distribution of spontaneous and induced preterm labor patients by race



	8.291 (6.840, 10.050)*	4.040 (2.000, 11100)
Primigravida	1.253 (1.093, 1.437)*	1.202 (0.913, 1.583)*

1.5 (1.1, 1.9)

	Predictors f

PROM

IUGR

Hyperte

Single n

Younger

Primigravida

revious caesarean secti

ictors for spontane	eous preterm labor after adjusted	Predictors for induced preterm labor after adjusted	
haracteristics	Association with spontaneous preterm labor aOR (95% Cl)	Characteristics	Association with induced preterm lab aOR (95% CI)
	13.8 (12.0, 15.9)	PROM	14.5 (11.2, 18.8)
fetuses	8.3 (5.9, 10.1)		14.0 (11.2, 10.0)
preterm labor	7.6 (6.0, 9.7)	IUGR	7.6 (4.4, 13.4)
	5.8 (4.1, 8.1)	Multiple fetuses	4.3 (2.7, 6.7)
previa	4.2 (2.7, 6.6)	Hypertension	1.9 (1.3, 2.7)
nsion	1.7 (1.4, 2.1)	Previous preterm labor	2.5 (1.4, 4.6)
other	1.9 (1.4, 2.6)	Underweight mother	1.7 (1.1, 2.5)
age mother (<19 years)	1.5 (1.2, 1.9)	Diabetes Mellitus	1 5 (1 1 1 0)

1.3 (1.1, 1.4)

1.3 (1.1, 1.6)

1.3 (1.1, 1.5)

Medical conditions such as premature rupture of membrane (PROM), intra-uterine growth retardation (IUGR), and hypertension were found to be significant predictors of induced preterm labor and spontaneous preterm labor.

Socio-demographic factors such as younger age mother, singles, underweight, and first pregnancy are predictors for spontaneous preterm labor.

CONCLUSION

There were some common predictors for spontaneous and induced preterm labor due to difficulty in defining those two types or pointing to the specific causes for each. However, induced preterm labor were mainly associated with maternal complications while various factors could predict spontaneous preterm labor. Limitation of this is the predictors analysed were limited to the data collected by NOR within a given time frame.

Percentage (%)

Distribution of spontaneous and induced preterm labor patients by BMI



REFERENCES

- Ananth, C.V. and Vintzileos, A.M. (2006). Epidemiology of preterm birth and its clinical subtypes. Journal of Maternal Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 19, 773-782. Beck, S., Wojdyla, D., Say. L., Betran, A.P., Merialdi, M., Requejo, J.H., Rubens, C., Menon, R., and Van Look, P.F.A. (2010). The worldwide incidence of preterm birth: A systemic review of maternal mortality and morbidity. Bulletin 31, World Health Organization, 88, 31-38.
- Goffinet, F. (2005). Primary predictors of preterm labor. International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 112 (1), 38-47.
- Morken, N.H., Kallen, K., Hagberg, H., and Jacobsson, B. (2005). Preterm birth in Sweden 1973–2001: rate, subgroups, and effect of changing patterns in multiple births, maternal age, and smoking. Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 84(6), 558–565.